

To Whom It May Concern:

I am presently an XM subscriber living in Western Pennsylvania. I pay for XM Satellite Radio For the following reasons

1. The terrestrial stations covering my area do a horrendous job providing my service area with music, news, weather, and traffic. Recently, my XM was able to provide me with instant storm warnings much better than the computerized FM stations from Pittsburgh that didn't even bother to break in with storm info.
2. Music choice- XM provides a much wider array of quality music choices at a much better quality than the commercial stations: I pay for this service because I want quality.....not the crap the commercial am/fm broadcasters in my area belch. it is even to the point where there are companies buying up the local stations, and putting 1 simulcast on them.....These were meant to serve the local area. IT's amazing that these people are allowed to do this, and complain about a service I choose to PAY for, just so I get these services.
3. Due to the rolling topography in this area, the FM signals in this area are unreliable and in places poor. Again, I PAY for a reliable interference-free signal that I enjoy that provides me with a service the local broadcasters cannot.

In closing, if the traditional broadcasters cannot provide the services their customers demand, they should not try to stifle folks that can. If a million people alone are willing to PAY for radio, this should tell them something about their product and what it may be lacking.....

Sincerely,

Robert W Koscinski